IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI

FRIDAY, THE 26TH OCTOBER 2007 / 4TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 31878 of 2007(T)

PETITIONER:

1. **RAMACHANDRAN.P., THEMBAPARA HOUSE, TRIKKALANGODE, MANJERY, MALAPPURAM.**
2. **SHYNA.P.K., PANIYATHU HOUSE, PUTHUKKAD POST, NEAR KELI BRIDGE, THRISSUR.**
3. **SAJINI, KARUMALIL HOUSE, KUDAVACHOOR POST, VAIKKOM, KOTTAYAM.**
4. **RAJAMURALI.K.P., CHENNOTHU HOUSE, RECPO, KOZHIKODE.**

BY ADV. SRI. T.N. MANOJ

RESPONDENTS:

1. **STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, HEALTH SERVICES, TRIVANDRUM.**
2. **THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES, TRIVANDRUM.**
3. **THE DIRECTOR STATE T.B.CENTER, TRIVANDRUM.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. BEJOY CHANDRAN.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 26/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

V.GIRI, J.

WP(C) No. 31878 of 2007

Dated, this the 26th day of October, 2007

J U D G M E N T

According to the petitioners, they have worked as treatment organizers / senior treatment supervisors in different Tuberculosis centres in the State of Kerala for different periods since 1996. They contend that they have undergone training conducted by the Tuberculosis Association of Kerala at different T.B. centres at Thiruvananthapuram.

2. Petitioners contend that there are 38 sanctioned posts of Treatment Organizers Grade II under the Revised National T.B. Programme in 8 districts. The Public Service Commission has initiated steps for recruitment to the said posts. But it will take some time for the process of selection to be completed. In the meanwhile, it would be appropriate for the State to utilize the services of the petitioners and similarly situated persons, who have undergone training, according to the petitioners. These aspects have been brought to the notice of the 2nd respondent vide Ext.P7 series of representations. Petitioners refer to Ext.P9 judgment, wherein similar claim was directed to be considered by the Director of Health Sciences.

3. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Pleader, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the claim, this writ petition is disposed of directing the 2nd respondent to consider Ext.P7 series of representations and take a decision within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment, keeping in mind Ext.P9 judgment also. As and when a decision is taken by the 2nd respondent in the manner aforementioned, the same may be communicated to the petitioners.

(V.GIRI, JUDGE.)

jg